# **Daniel King**

# King's Kalashnikov Sicilian

A Dynamic Black Repertoire for Club Players

# **Contents**

Part I	Model Games	11
Part II	The Kalashnikov Repertoire	54
Chapter 1	A misplaced knight: 5.Øb3	55
Chapter 2	The unassuming 5. <b>位</b> f3	66
Chapter 3	The ugly 5.0xc6	74
Chapter 4	Menacing? 5.约f5	84
Chapter 5	Too sophisticated: 5.മe2	88
Chapter 6	The pragmatic 5.4b5 d6 6.a4	94
Chapter 7	A bad Sveshnikov: 5.0b5 d6 6.ĝe3	102
Chapter 8	A traffic jam: 5.4b5 d6 6.4c4	109
<b>Chapter 9</b>	A mini traffic jam: 5.\Db5 d6 6.\D5c3	112
Chapter 10	The gentle 5.4b5 d6 6.g3	116
Chapter 11	The modest 5.0ിb5 d6 6.ge2	123
Chapter 12	The terrible 5.包b5 d6 6.皇g5	127
Chapter 13	Sound development: 5.മിb5 d6 6.മിc3	130
Chapter 14	A careless fianchetto: 8.g3	141
Chapter 15	A move with potential: 8. ge3	144
Chapter 16	Main line 8.42c4: minor 10th moves	147
Chapter 17	Main line with 10.g3 h5	154
Chapter 18	Main line with 10.g3 0-0	163
Chapter 19	6.c4: main line with 7.ପାc3	172
Chapter 20	6.c4: main line with 7.\(\hat{Q}\)d3	185
Index of variati	ons	191
Index of name	ς	192

# Introduction

1.e4 c5 2.0f3 0c6 3.d4 cxd4 4.0xd4 e5 was one of the first serious openings that I played with Black, under the tutelage of my coach at the time, International Master Nigel Povah. I must have been about 12 years old, and it was an exciting initiation into the mysteries of the Sicilian Defence. I didn't realise it, but this was a great place to start as the variations, at least for a couple of moves, are forcing, and decent options for White are surprisingly limited. After 4...e5 White has the choice of six moves with the knight, and five of them give Black an easy game. The odds were already in my favour!



The best move is **5.\(\Delta\) b5** and at that time the only acceptable way for Black to play the opening was 5...a6 6.\(\Delta\)d6+ \(\Delta\)xd6 \(\Delta\)f6. This is the so-called L\(\Delta\)wenthal Variation, named after Johann Jacob L\(\Delta\)wenthal, one of the strongest players of the mid-19th century. Since that time it has had little bursts of popularity – until players of the white pieces remember what to do and it shuffles off again to the dusty pages of an old text book.

In the late 1980s, the reputation of the opening changed when Evgeny Sveshnikov – he of the Sveshnikov Variation – started experimenting with 5. 5 b 5 d 6. In the past this was always frowned upon as it was thought that 6.c4 gave White a significant grip on the position. As we will see, Black has often been able to prove that this is a dangerous over-extension...

It is extraordinary that when Sveshnikov began playing 5...d6, it had never been taken too seriously. A few notable players had tried it – I might mention Sultan Khan in 1930, Larry Evans in 1955 and Bent Larsen in 1960 – but according to my database they didn't explore the system too much in subsequent games. The Austrian International Master Franz Hölzl was an exponent of the system in the late 1970s and 1980s, creating a little trend along with compatriots Walter Wittmann and Alexander Fauland. But it was when Evgeny Sveshnikov came on board that it became a serious weapon. As with so many openings, he was a formidable creator and flag bearer.

Following him, there were players like Smbat Lputian, John van der Wiel and Ketevan Arakhamia who incorporated the opening into their repertoire. Latterly, it has achieved a high mark of respectability as Magnus Carlsen has employed it with some success, if only in rapid and blitz games. Perhaps the strongest adherents of the opening these days are Teimour Radjabov and the Iranian Parham Maghsoodloo; but as you will see from the games in the book, there is a stellar line-up of players who on occasion like to load up the Kalashnikov.

Why should you play the Kalashnikov? See my first paragraph! At club level, in my experience as a teenager, many players already got it wrong on the fifth move and failed to play 5. 2b5. This is borne out by the games of my current students: somehow players at a certain level are unsure when it comes to moving the knight out towards the edge of the board.

Actually, it is very understandable that the thought of 5.\(\Delta\)b5 causes nervousness. After **5...d6** 



it will take some time before the knight re-routes to a better location, and this forms the basis of a lot of the subsequent play. Black has a lead in development and White has two main tasks to handle: bringing the knight back into play as well as completing development, not to mention looking up occasionally to see what Black is doing.

I recommend the Kalashnikov to my students for practical reasons. In most of the variations after 5. \$\infty\$ b5 d6, the pawn structure is fixed. Pawn structure determines strategy, and if one can rely on the structure as a constant, then it is easier to get a handle on middlegame strategy.

In the Kalashnikov there are fewer sharp lines than in many other openings. In the Najdorf or the Dragon, for example, a good recollection of precise move orders and tricky moves is sometimes required to avoid immediate opening disaster. That is rarely the case with the Kalashnikov. A general understanding of pawn structures, piece placement and strategic ideas will often be sufficient to carry you through the opening – which is why I lay so much emphasis on the Model Games section where the strategy is explained.

This book is based on the online Kalashnikov course that I produced for Chessable. What are the differences? First and foremost, the medium. There is certainly something appealing about the 'gamification' of learning an opening that the Chessable format offers. But for an overview, I find it easier to consider a subject in book form, where with a quick flick of the page one can appreciate how the material is laid out and how much attention is devoted to different sections.

I have simplified some sections, improved some variations based on feedback (and my revisions), included a few theoretically significant games that have appeared since the course appeared online, and added a few more model games in the first section.

I have structured the book according to how I learn an opening. First of all, there is the Model games section where I explain opening and middlegame strategy. Only by examining complete games can we get an idea of what an opening is really about. The second section comprises my detailed repertoire for Black, and there you can fill in the gaps of your knowledge. A good way of

learning an opening is to play it first, and to look it up afterwards. This section can be used as a reference manual to do exactly that. I should emphasise that I have not attempted to write a comprehensive survey of the Kalashnikov. In the digital age, we are bombarded by masses of information, and selecting what is relevant becomes increasingly difficult. I have simplified matters by providing clear recommendations of practical variations. For example, the main line of the Kalashnikov used to be 1.e4 c5 2.0f3 \( \tilde{\Omega} \) c6 3.d4 cxd4 4.0xd4 e5 5.0b5 d6 6.01c3 a6 7.0a3 b5, but instead I have gone with 7...\( \tilde{\Omega} \) e7 which was originally the choice of the formidable Ukrainians Pavel Eljanov and Alexander Moiseenko, and has also been give the seal of approval by Magnus Carlsen.

Occasionally, I have offered a choice of variations, notably in the main line where the path splits between the more orthodox 10...0-0 and the enterprising 10...h5.

And finally, why is the opening named after the most notorious automatic rifle in the world? It is certainly fitting that Kalashnikov rhymes with 'Sveshnikov' as the two systems are closely related and Evgeny Sveshnikov developed many of the key ideas. But who actually coined the name? All can be revealed.

John van der Wiel, a Dutch Grandmaster who was one of the earliest adopters of the opening in the 1980s boom, introduced the name in the magazine New In Chess – yes, the publisher of this book. As he explained to me:

'It was an inside joke amongst some players from my region in the Netherlands back then. Something like "a primitive weapon, but it never jams". To my great surprise, others embraced this name and now it is the "official" name.'

There you have it – from the horse's mouth, so to speak.

The humour of the name 'The Kalashnikov' is dark and for some does not read well in the context of our troubled times. I considered unilaterally renaming the opening and retitling this book, but that would just be a euphemism. In the chess community, 'The Kalashnikov Variation of the Sicilian' is the name that has stuck over decades and that won't change for a long while. Thankfully, the struggle on the chessboard is unrelated to the painful reality of actual conflicts around the world.

Daniel King, London, April 2022

21.曾g2 公g4 22.單h1 響f6 23.罩d2 公e3+ 24.fxe3 響f3+ 25.曾g1 響xg3+ 26.曾f1 響f3+ 27.曾g1 罩c6 28.罩hh2 響xe3+ 29.罩df2 罩g6+ 30.會h1 公f3. Notice how in these lines White's queen is stranded in Siberia, unable to take part in the defence. That is so often a consequence of capturing the pawn on d6.

#### 20.h3 Øf3+ 21.**∲**h1

Instead, 21.gxf3 wxh3 22.fxg4 wxg4+ 23.&h2 ac6 is similar to variations above.

21...②g5 22.f3 ②xh3 23.fxg4 ②f2+ 24.望g1 ②xg4



#### 25.罩f5

25.宣f2 響h2+ 26.會f1 響h4 27 這dd2 gives better chances of a defence according to my machine, but in practice such positions are virtually impossible to handle.

25... 營h2+ 26. 含f1 營h1+ 27. 含e2 營xg2+ 28. 含e1 營g3+ 29. 含e2 g6 30. 單df1

Instead, 30. Iff1 We3 checkmate is White's problem.

# 30...gxf5 31.心d5 fxe4 32.心e7+ \$\delta\$h8 33.\(\hat{o}\)xe4 營xa3 34.bxa3 置c7 35.心d5 置c4 36.\(\hat{o}\)f5 置d8 0-1

There are many positions in the opening where White is able to capture the pawn on d6: it is rarely a good idea. In most cases Black is able to generate a quick attack. It is worth remembering that in many lines White makes multiple knight moves in the Kalashnikov, and is already behind in development. Therefore, capturing a pawn, liberating Black's pieces, is often a perilous exercise.

#### Game 12

# Fidel Corrales Jimenez Johan Alvarez Marquez

2502 2413

Havana 2008 (3)

Here is another game where White foolishly captures the pawn on d6 in the opening. The consequences are catastrophic.

1.e4 c5 2.∅f3 ∅c6 3.d4 cxd4 4.∅xd4 e5 5.∅b5 d6 6.∅1c3 a6 7.∅a3 ≗e7 8.∅d5 ∅f6



In the Kalashnikov, the struggle often revolves around the d5-square. Decades ago, in similar positions from the Najdorf, Boleslavsky and Sveshnikov variations of the Sicilian, it used to be thought that the simple occupation of the square conferred an advantage on White, but methods have been found to challenge the knight, or simply to play around it. Just going on general principles, I find it hard to believe that Black can be worse in this position: White has already made four moves with the king's knight, and now makes a second move with the queen's knight – which is about to be exchanged off. The upshot is that Black already has a lead in development. In general, this is why I believe that the Kalashnikov is fully playable: White must spend time recycling the knight on a3 to a better position and that gives Black time to develop and fight for those central squares. Playing the knight to f6 prepares castling, but also attacks the e-pawn, so practically guarantees that the knight on d5 will be exchanged.

9.∅xf6+ ≜xf6 10.∅c4 0-0

Black could defend the d-pawn with 10... 2e7, but there is no need.

#### 11.9xd6

A reckless decision considering that the knight is White's only

piece in play and he has yet to castle. Perhaps it was the lack of a forcing reply that persuaded White that he could get away with it. 11.c3 would have been more prudent.



#### 11...**≜e6**

Black doesn't need to go crazy: it is enough to bring some pieces into play.

#### 12.c3 **營c7**

Threatening a pin with a rook.

#### 13.分f5 罩fd8

In the repertoire I recommend 13... ad8, but the game continuation is also dangerous.

#### 14. 學f3 學a5

Excellent move. Black uses the pin to play the knight into the middle. White's lack of development is shocking.

#### 15. ge2 gxf5

There was another strong continuation: 15...公d4 16.公xd4 exd4 17.0-0 dxc3 18.bxc3 总xc3 19.罩b1 b5 20.a3 总a2 21.总b2 罩ac8 22.罩a1 总e6 23.总xc3 罩xc3 24.豐f4 罩xa3, winning a pawn.

#### 16.exf5

Instead, 16. wxf5 ∆d4 hits the queen and threatens a winning check.



#### 16...e4

The bishop is unleashed.

#### 17. **營h5**

Alternatively, 17. 響xe4 罩e8 (17... 盒xc3+ 18. 含f1 罩e8 19. 響c2 急f6 20. 盒e3 罩ac8 also gives Black a wonderful initiative) 18. 響b1 公d4 19. 盒e3 公xe2 20. 含xe2 響b5+ leaves the king stranded in the middle of the board and the attack rages on.

#### 

White resigned.

After the exchange of bishops, Black's rook is able to access the second rank.

19.g3 g6 20.fxg6 ₩xh5 21.\( \Delta xh5 \) hxg6 22.\( \Delta d1 \) \( \Delta xc1 23.\( \Delta xc1 \) \( \Delta d2 \)

Material is even, but the rook on the second cuts through White's position and the attack persists.

24. මූc2 e3 25.fxe3 එe5 26. මූe4 Ľad8 27.h3 Ľxb2 28. Ľg1 එc4

The knight cannot be taken because of ... Id mate. and there

are threats to take on e3 and check on d2.

White's king never escaped from the middle which meant he could never bring the king's rook into play – justice after the injudicious pawn grab. For the most part, Black did not need to play any extraordinary moves to exploit his lead in development, although I would mention one that was clever: 14... \$\text{\text{\text{\$\text{\$W}}}}\$ a5, preparing \$15... \$\text{\text{\$\

Game 13

Maria Schöne 2163 Ekaterina Kovalevskaya 2403

Germany Frauenbundesliga 2018/19 (1)

In many variations of the Sicilian, it is common for White to attack by advancing the f-pawn - see Game 2 Surujhlal–Belous. There are pros and cons in doing so. If the pawn advances all the way to f6, it can be a dangerous spearhead for an attack; or it might be that the f-file becomes an attacking avenue for the rook. On the other hand, advancing the f-pawn can weaken White's king along the a7-q1 and a8-h1 diagonals; and don't forget that the second rank is also more exposed, if Black ever breaks through. The e4-pawn is also in danger of becoming weak as it can no longer be protected by a pawn. All this is very theoretical. Let's

see how this plays out in an actual game.

1.e4 c5 2.\$\(\angle f3\) \$\(\angle c6\) 3.d4 cxd4
4.\$\(\angle xd4\) e5 5.\$\(\angle b5\) d6 6.\$\(\angle 1c3\) a6
7.\$\(\angle a3\) \(\angle e7\) 8.\$\(\angle c4\) b5 9.\$\(\angle a3\) \(\angle f6\)
The main line of my repertoire.
Here, 10.g3, 10.\$\(\angle d3\) and 10.\$\(\angle ed5\)
are the most popular choices,
but the game continuation is a solid alternative.

#### 10.a3

By preventing Black from expanding with ...b5-b4, White attempts to keep control of the position.

10...0-0 11.g3 全e6 12.全g2 營d7 In my repertoire, I recommend 12...宣c8, but this is also very sensible, claiming control over an important diagonal and connecting the rooks.

#### 13.0-0 **\(\bar{\pi}\)**ac8

By the way, instead of this, **the Bad-Bishop Bounce** comes into consideration here – 13... d8.
The bishop will find a good spot on b6.

#### 14.f4



Good morning, **Freddie**. Just because the f-pawn's advance is common doesn't mean it is good. Black's kingside position is strong, there are simply no weaknesses, whereas it is White who is actually running a risk because pawns are disappearing from in front of the king. In short, when playing with Black, hold on to what is good about your position (safe king, well-coordinated pieces, strong pawn structure) and look forward to a stinging counter-attack.

#### 14...exf4

White had a semi-threat of playing the pawn to f5, creating a pawn wedge on the kingside while also closing the centre. The exchange avoids that and looks forward to when White's centre will be vulnerable.

# 15.gxf4 **gh3**

Black had two good alternatives:

- A) 15... d8 once more the bishop finds its way to b6, taking aim at the kingside, an after 16.f5 dc4 the knight finds a great square on e5;
- B) 15... Ife8 is also sensible. The bishop can choose whether it dips back to d8 or f8.

#### 16.單f2

White would have done better to play 16. \$\mathbb{U}\$f3 \(\hat{L}\$xg2 17. \$\mathbb{U}\$xg2 to bring the queen to the kingside. From g2 it also protects the e4-pawn. However, Black's position is still playable after 17...\$\mathbb{U}\$fe8.

## 

The queen is a thorn in White's side. The best White can do is go for an exchange – but that leaves the e4- and c2-pawns as targets.

19...≝xd3 20.cxd3 ∅xd5 21.∅xd5 ◊e7



Exchanging off the knight emphasises Black's lead in development: White's queenside pieces are still on their starting squares.

Taking control over the only open file: Black is better.

25. ĝe3 ĝxe3 26. ll xe3 ll c2+ 27. ĝg3 ll xb2 28.d4 ĝf8 29. ll d1 ll cc2 30.h3 ll g2+ 31. ĝh4 ll bf2 0-1

White's pawn weaknesses came home to roost. This final position illustrates one of the problems with moving the f-pawn: there is nothing to block the second rank.

If White advances the f-pawn, the stakes are raised, but have faith. Keep the kingside firm and trust in counter-attacking moves rather than defence. A mistake by White can have fatal consequences.

Game 14

# lan Nepomniachtchi Magnus Carlsen

2773 2861

Abidjan rapid 2019 (2)

This is a wonderful example of **the Steamroller**. In the Kalashnikov, White very often plays a knight to d5, it gets exchanged and a pawn takes its place. The resulting pawn structure gives White a queenside pawn majority and Black a kingside pawn majority. That's where the fun starts.



White has spent so much time moving the knights that to make a pawn move, which isn't strictly necessary, feels like too much of a luxury.

# 8...**ົ⊘f6** 9.**≜g2** b5

A decent move, but it was possible to play **the Poke**: 9... 294 – however White responds to the blunt attack on the queen, it involves a compromise. Please see the variations in the repertoire.

#### 10.0 d5 0 xd5 11.exd5 0 b8



Here is the reason I wanted to show this game: after the exchange on d5 we have arrived at a position with a very common pawn structure. Instead of a piece, White has a pawn on d5, which changes the nature of the position. This is a very common scenario, as you will see through the repertoire.

#### 12.0-0 Ød7 13.c4

Pawn structure determines strategy. White has a four versus three majority on the queenside and, typically, that is where White will want to generate play, using the space advantage that the pawn on d5 confers. Black, on the other hand, will want to generate play on the kingside with the four versus three pawn majority. As you can imagine, in such positions, time is of the essence: who will power through first?

#### 13...0-0

Carlsen already recognises the urgency of the situation and prefers to complete his development rather than engage with White's play. Instead, 13...bxc4 14.\(\Delta\)xc4 a5 (to stop b2-b4 and \(\Delta\)c4-a5-c6) 15.\(\Delta\)d2 0-0 16.\(\Delta\)c1 feels as though White is developing well on the queenside.

#### 14.cxb5



#### 14...axb5

By the way, Black could also embark on kingside play immediately with 14...f5. If 15.bxa6 and it will take some time before White is able to get play going on the queenside.

#### 15.∮)c2

15.∆xb5 would be met by 15... âa6, winning material.

#### 15...f5

**The Steamroller** begins. These pawns have a bright future.

16.�b4 ዿf6 17.�c6 ₩e8 18.a4



The position is brewing nicely. Both sides have developed their own play: White can be happy with the knight on c6 and is now opening the queenside; Black tip-toes around the knight and has strong centre pawns. Game on!

#### 18...**∕**∆c5

A natural move, but the computer recommends 18...bxa4 19. Ixa4 2a6 20. Iel 2c5 21. Ia5 e4, followed by establishing a piece on d3. All Black's minor pieces stand well. Remember, this was a rapid-play game.

# 

21...公c5 22.罩xa8 豐xa8 23.違xc5 dxc5 24.豐xc5 would have swung the game in White's favour.

#### 22.bxa4

This is met by an unexpected and spectacular refutation. 22. 2d2! is better, when all bets are off.

#### 22...fxe3 23.axb5 \(\mathbb{Z}\)xa1 24.\(\mathbb{Z}\)xa1



# 24...<u>\$g</u>5!

Threatening to take on f2.

#### 25.b6

Nepo goes all in, backing the b-pawn to run, but allowing Black in on the kingside, to some extent.



analysis diagram

The rook is great on f2 and the dark-squared bishop – apparently the 'bad' bishop – once more proves what a superb piece it can be, controlling an important diagonal, attacking and defending simultaneously. This is a great example of **the Bad-Bishop Bounce**, but instead of manoeuvring via d8, the bishop got to b6 via g5 and e3!

# 

If 29. ½xe4, 29... ½h3 30. ½g2 ½xg2+ 31. ½xg2 ∰xd5+ wins. White's best is 29. ∰xe4 £a6 30. ∰e6 £xf1 31. £xf1 £h8 and apparently with precise play White can hold, but this is somewhat academic given the rough and tumble nature of a rapid game (and indeed this kind of position).



#### 29...e3!!

An extraordinary move. Carlsen has judged that his pawns will be worth a piece.

29... ②h6? 30. ②xe4 ②h3 31. ②e7+! ⑤h8 (31... 營xe7 32. ③xh7+) 32. ③g2 ③xg2+ 33. ⑤xg2. In this case the knight on e7 defends the d5-pawn.

#### 30.hxg5 **□e8**

Holding the pawn and preparing to take on g5 with the queen followed by a deadly check on h6.

# 

Magnus has won the race, but is it a Pyrrhic victory? He still needs to quell White's pieces on the kingside.

35. 当f5 当e3 36. 其f3 当e2 37. 公d4 当e5 38. 当g4 当bxd5 39. 公f5 当de6 40. 当h5 当g6 41. 当g4 h5 42. 当c4+ d5 43. 当b5 当xg5 44. 当d7 h4 45. 其f1 hxg3+ 46. 含g1 g6 47. ②xd5+ 含h8

The fun is over and White resigned.

This is an excellent example of strategy with such a pawn structure. Both sides were intent on pursuing their own plans and neither blinked. Carlsen strove with all his might to push forward his kingside pawn majority, although sometimes it can be worth pausing and seeing what is happening on the other side of the board (see move 18). It took precise calculation to execute the kingside attack, but in general it is important to recognise the broad thrust of strategy and

#### PART II

# The Kalashnikov Repertoire

In this section you can find a ready-made template of Kalashnikov variations to follow, saving you the laborious task of researching the raw games and deciding what is good and bad. I point out what is rare, what is common, what one might reasonably expect to face with Black, and at the end of each chapter there is a short evaluation of the merits of the variation in question. That will help you decide which lines to devote most study time to. Naturally, these are my personal recommendations and if something isn't to your taste and style, then that is quite normal. In fact, it would be odd if everything were to your taste: as you will discover, very often I mention alternative lines and you will have to make your own decision as to which way to go. I am hoping that this book will provoke you into your own way of thinking about the opening.

If you go through the repertoire page by page, variation by variation, move by move, then in my experience you will be unique. At first, most concentrate on studying a few main variations, put those into practice, then use the book as a reference work, looking up the details of variations after playing. That's the best way: learning by doing.

This repertoire is based on the one that I recommended in my online Chessable course. For those of you that have already been there and are here for this handy physical version, I should mention that minor changes have been made: a few simplifications of variations and a couple of updates, but it is essentially the same.

#### CHAPTER 12

# The terrible 5.4b5 d6 6.4g5

1.e4 c5 2.0f3 0c6 3.d4 cxd4 4.0xd4 e5 5.0b5 d6 6.1g5



This looks terrible – and it is terrible. Take it!

# 



For the moment, White is the exchange up, but that knight in the corner is trapped, and if it is taken, the material balance will tip the other way. Besides, Black already has a lead in

development and that isn't easy for White to manage.

#### 8...9f6

Black develops with gain of tempo.

#### 9.9c3 9d4

The knight on a8 cannot run away, but before scooping it up, let's make it as difficult as possible for White to develop. Normally I wouldn't recommend that a piece moves twice in the opening, but in this particular position Black is able to go on the offensive immediately. White must already tread carefully to avoid losing on the spot.

At this point there are three moves to consider:

- A) 10.g3
- B) 10.₩d3
- C) 10.h4

# A) 10.g3



White would like to bring out the king's bishop, but this is a terrible mistake.

# 10...<u></u>≜g4

Exploiting the weakness of the f3-square. Another **Poke!** This is a very a common idea in the Kalashnikov. At this point 11. 2e2 2f3 checkmate is not recommended.

#### 11. **營d3** 分f3+

Displacing White's king. No more castling for you.

# 12.Ġd1 ∅d4+ 13.Ձe2 Ձxe2+ 14.∅xe2 ∰g4

Black threatens to exchange off on e2, then play ... £e7, ... £d7 and capture the knight in the corner without drama. The endgame with bishop and knight against rook is winning for Black.

#### 15.<sup>™</sup>e1 �f3

Black has a wonderful attacking position, and the knight in the corner is also waiting to be taken. This is a winning position.

# B) 10. **營d3**



#### 10...d5

Very bold! White is under massive pressure.

#### 11.exd5

Instead, 11. 2xd5 2xd5 12.exd5 \$f5 and 13... 2xc2+ wins for Black.

#### 11... **£f5**

That's the point. Black is breaking through to the king.

# 12. **曾在 包xc2+ 13. 會相 豐g4+**Black could play 13.... 皇 d6 (with a winning position), but exchanging queens is a practical

choice: less can go wrong. **14. \$d2 響xc4 15. \$xc4 ②xa1** Taking the rook is also a good

# 16.**≝**xa1 **≜**d6

practical choice...

The king will move to e7 and then rook takes knight. Black has an extra piece.

# C) 10.h4



A vain attempt to drive away the queen.

#### 10... **警f**4

The queen remains active, attacking the e-pawn.

## 11. gd3 ge6

There is no need for Black to rush: simple development will suffice. The threat is to play ... êe7, ... êd7 and ... Exa8 when Black will have a material advantage – as well as the attack. White cannot do much to cross this.

#### 12.a4

If 12.g3, 12... g4 and Black remains in control.

12...≜e7 13.Øb5

An attempt to rescue the stranded knight – which fails miserably.

## 13...**⊘**xe4

Threatening the pawn on f2.

#### 14.0-0

Out of the frying pan and into the fire. The f2-square has been defended and immediate danger averted, but the king faces a lethal assault in its new location.



I'll allow you to analyse the details of this position yourself, but suffice to say that Black has a winning attack.

# Conclusion

6. 2g5 is a move suitable for a blitz game when White merely wishes to sow confusion. However, even under those circumstances, this move cannot be recommended as it actually gives Black the initiative.

#### CHAPTER 13

# Sound development: 5.4b5 d6 6.41c3

1.e4 c5 2.0f3 0c6 3.d4 cxd4 4.0xd4 e5 5.0b5 d6 6.01c3



Sound development. This is the most popular line at master level – but in my experience it does not occur with the same frequency in club chess. At this point Black can play 6...也f6 and transpose into a Sveshnikov, but we want to keep the game along pure Kalashnikov lines.

#### 6...a6 7.5 a3

That already looks pleasant from Black's viewpoint. The knight has been sent back to a poor position. Much of the subsequent opening and middlegame struggle will focus on whether that knight should transfer to a better square, and if that consumes too much time.

#### 7... **≜e**7

This simple developing move has been played by Carlsen, Nakamura, Radjabov, Ivanchuk, Shirov and many other luminaries of the chess world. In recent times it has been the move of choice for most strong players, but 7...b5 used to be the most popular continuation. That is certainly worth looking at, but a bit too committal for my taste. I think it is better to hang back with the b-pawn for the moment.

7... 全6 is also worth investigating, and finally 7... 全6 will probably transpose back into a Sveshnikov after 8. 全5. In fact, that gives us a clue as to why 7... 全7 is played: White is unable to play 8. 全5, so Black is hoping to retain more control over d5.

At this point 8.0c4 is the main line and is dealt with in detail in later chapters. However, at this point there are several valid alternatives that need examining.

#### 8. 夕d5



This is the second most popular move (after 8.\(\Delta\)c4) and looks logical: the knight occupies a fine square in the middle of the board. Nevertheless, there is a big drawback to the move.

#### 8...Øf6

This simple developing move demonstrates the problem with White's last move: the knight on d5 is challenged and the e-pawn threatened, inducing a compromise. At this point, White has several continuations:

- A) 9.42xf6+
- B) 9.4 xe7
- C) 9. ge3

In my opinion, none of the moves gives an advantage, and in several cases White has to struggle to avoid falling into a poor position. By the way, we can dismiss 9.\(\text{\frac{d}{2}}\)d because of 9...\(\text{\frac{d}{2}}\)xd5 10.exd5 \(\text{\frac{d}{2}}\)a + 11.c3 \(\text{\frac{d}{2}}\)xd5, winning a pawn – thank you.

# A) 9. 2xf6+

Although this is probably White's best move, I count this as a success for Black: the knight has already moved twice and there is nothing better than to exchange it off! That means Black has a lead in development which can be put to good use.

#### 9...ዿxf6 10.⊘c4 0-0

Black could protect the d-pawn, but let's not mess around! We might be able to catch White's king in the centre: there are plenty of opportunities for our opponent to go wrong. I'm going into some detail here. It's fun to analyse when Black has the initiative. There are four moves to consider:

- A1) 11.c3
- A2) 11. ₩xd6
- A3) 11.**∅xd6**
- A4) 11. ge3

# A1) 11.c3



This is the best move and it also has the best results in my database (50%). Nevertheless, White has to take care if he is to escape unscathed; after all, the king has yet to castle.

#### 11...b5

Black could simply defend the d-pawn with the bishop, but this bold pawn sacrifice, keeping White busy, is far more attractive.

#### 12.∮xd6 ≜e6

Sound development. White will not be able to maintain the knight on d6. Black wants to move the queen out of the way and put a rook on d8.

#### 13.**分f**5

The best move, although it is still not easy for White.

13. <u>2</u>e3 is a risky move. White is neglecting kingside develop-

ment: 13...豐c7 14.包f5 罩fd8 15.豐c1 (15.豐f3 was played in Milov-Feicht, Heusenstamm 2016, and here Black could have got a bone-crushing attack with 15...b4, for example: 16.鱼e2 bxc3 17.bxc3 鱼xf5 18.豐xf5 ②e7, followed by taking the pawn on c3) 15...鱼xf5 16.exf5 and now 16...豐b7 sets up an evil hit: 17.鱼e2 (with the idea of castling, but Black gets in there first) 17...②d4 (BOOM) 18.cxd4 豐xg2 19.罩f1 exd4 20.鱼f4 d3. White's king will not get out alive.

#### 13...**₩a**5



As well as making way for the rook to come to d8, the pin sets up the tactical possibility of the knight moving into d4. White has to pay attention or there could be massive trouble.

#### 14.9e3

This is the only move that gives White a route to safety.
The standard developing move 14. 2e2 is a mistake. Black starts the middlegame while White

is still in the opening: 14...\$\frac{1}{2}fd8\$
15.\$\frac{\mathscr{W}}{c}2\$ (if 15.\$\frac{\mathscr{Q}}{c}4, 15...\$\frac{\mathscr{Q}}{c}xf5\$ 16.exf5\$
\$\frac{\mathscr{Q}}{g}5\$ wins material) 15...\$\frac{\mathscr{Q}}{c}d4\$
(a huge hit!) 16.\$\frac{\mathscr{Q}}{c}xd4\$ exd4\$
17.\$\frac{\mathscr{Q}}{c}d2\$ dxc3. With the following exchanges Black succeeds in isolating White's queenside pawns: 18.\$\frac{\mathscr{Q}}{c}xc3\$ \$\frac{\mathscr{Q}}{c}xc3\$ + 19.bxc3\$
\$\bar{\mathscr{Q}}{a}ac8\$ 20 0-0 (White has to simply abandon the c3-pawn as 20.\$\bar{\mathscr{Q}}{c}c1\$ b4 makes things even worse) 20...\$\bar{\mathscr{Q}}{c}xc3\$ 21.\$\bar{\mathscr{W}}{c}b2\$ \$\bar{\mathscr{Q}}{a}3\$ wins a pawn with a winning endgame. The a- and b-pawns should decide.

#### 14...單fd8 15.勾d5

This is the most prudent choice, returning the pawn in order to bring the king to safety.

Self-pinning with 15. 2d2 is risky.

Black has good compensation for the pawn after any of these moves: 15... 2d6, 15... 2g5, or 15... 2c7.

15. C2 is asking for trouble. The best reply is 15... Lac8 and something nasty is about to happen involving ... 44 or ... b5-b4, depending on White's response.

#### 15...**≜**xd5

With this Black regains the pawn.

#### 16.exd5 ②e7 17.豐c2

The queen steps out of the way of the rook.

# 

Blocking out the bishop. If one wanted to be adventurous (and also take a risk) then 18...b4 is possible.

#### 19.0-0 **\(\bar{a}\)**ac8

The position is roughly level. Black will probably play for ...b5-b4 to force c3-c4 so that the d4-square can be occupied by the knight spinning round via e7 and c6.

# A2) 11. ₩xd6



Taking the pawn is risky. Black has some hits while White's king is still loitering in the middle of the board.

#### 

Hitting White where it hurts.

#### 

With this accurate move, White can still maintain the balance.

14. 2xc8 axc8 is worse, when Black will recover the pawn with wonderful activity for the rooks.

#### 14...b5

Alternatively, 14... (2)xd3+15.cxd3 Ixd3 16. (2)e2 Id4 17. (2)b6 Ib8 18. (2)f3 (2)e6 19. (2)e3 Id6 20. Ihc1 is roughly level. White's well placed minor pieces balance Black's two bishops. I would love to be able to claim that Black can get the advantage in one of these endgames, but the truth is that White can still hold the balance. Still, this is quite a good theoretical outcome from the opening when playing with the black pieces!

## 

16.△xc8 **Z**bxc8 would give Black an excellent endgame.

# 16... ge6 17.0-0-0 **3d**6

This forces the knight back and Black regains the pawn

# 18. 2 d5 2 xd5 19.exd5 2 xd5

The endgame is roughly level if White starts exchanging pieces with 20. 2e4.

# A3) 11. 2xd6



Risky, considering that White is so far behind in development.

#### 11...**≜e6**

The position is tricky for White. Black just wants to move the queen and put a rook on d8.

#### 12.c3

 12. 全e2? 響e7 followed by ... Ifd8 leaves White's queen in trouble.

## 12... **營c7**

Instead, 12...b5 would transpose to the main line and is quite playable, but moving the queen away directly is even more dangerous. White has to tread carefully.

# 13.∕Ωc4

Instead, 13.∆f5 **Z**ad8 14.**₩**g4.



analysis diagram

Black has so many pieces in play, it must be possible to cause White some trouble: 14... a5 (we see this idea in several different positions in this line; Black wants to use the pin to create trouble for the kina) 15.2e2 (White has to hurry to bring his king to safety; everything else is iust BAD) 15...公d4 16. 息d1 公xf5 17.exf5. Black has the choice of two testing continuations at this point. I like the exchange sacrifice, but if that isn't your cup of tea, then 17... Zd4 is worth investigating: 17... Zxd1+ 18. Wxd1

(18.當xd1? h5 19.豐xh5 盒c4 is even worse) 18... 盒c4. Black has excellent compensation for the exchange. How does the white king escape from the middle?

13... Ifd8 14. Wc2 b5 15. ©e3 Iac8

Over the last few moves Black
has brought his pieces into play
and at the same time attacked

White's pieces. He has a significant lead in development.

# 16. ge2 wa5 17. gd2

White would like to castle 17.0-0, but that leads to disaster: 17... ②d4 18. ∰d1 ②f3+ wins the queen.

# 17...<u>\$</u>g5

Often the right square for the bishop in the Kalashnikov. The pressure increases.

#### 18.0-0 b4

Black has a strong initiative, though White can hold the position with accurate play.

# A4) 11. ge3



At this point, 11... (2) d4 is the most popular move, but I prefer to develop another piece:

#### 11... **≜e6**

Simple and strong. The bishop comes into the game onto its usual excellent square and White is under pressure: he is behind in development and the best continuation is far from obvious. There are four moves to consider:

A41) 12.2b6

A42) 12. ₩xd6

A43) 12. gb6

A44) 12.2xd6

# A41) 12.∅b6



#### 12... 草b8 13. 夕d5

Normally I would exchange off the dark-squared bishop with 13... £g5 – which is also fine for Black – but there is a chance to seize the initiative:

#### 13... **拿xd5** 14. **豐xd5**

14.exd5 ②e7 gives Black the better pawn structure and there is a threat to play 15...豐a5+, winning the d-pawn.

# 14... g5

Exchanging the dark-squared bishops allows Black's queen to