Hübner Year by Year

Volume I (1959-1979)

Tibor Karolyi and Hans Renette

Hübner Year by Year: Volume I (1959-1979) Authors: Tibor Karolyi and Hans Renette

Typesetting by Andrei Elkov

Front cover: 14-year old Robert Hübner in 1962 at the Hamburg

Turonen Tournament. Photo by Günter Haas via ChessBase

© Elk and Ruby, Tibor Karolyi and Hans Renette, 2025. All rights reserved

Follow us on Twitter/X: @ilan_ruby

www.elkandruby.com

ISBN 978-1-916839-20-5 (hardback), 978-1-916839-19-9 (paperback)



At Wijk aan Zee, 1971. Playing against Petrosian — Nationaal Archief (photo by Bert Verhoeff)

Contents

Index of Games	4
Introduction by Tibor Karolyi	8
Introduction by Hans Renette	10
1959 – 1962	12
1963	47
1964	55
1965	68
1966	86
1967	91
1968	126
1969	160
1970	189
1971	233
Photos	258
1972	266
1973	
1974	
1975	
1976	
1977	
1978	
1979	
Epilogue by Tibor Karolyi	

Index of Games

Game	White	Black	Opening	Year
1	Hübner	Guttenberger	English Opening	1959
2	Hübner	Striebich	Nimzowitsch Defence	1961
3	Höhn	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1961
4	Hübner	Niess	Fragment	1961
5	Böhle	Hübner	Fragment	1961
6	Hübner	Bichlmeier	Alekhine Defence	1961
7	Hübner	Kossel	Ruy Lopez	1962
8	Reefschläger	Hübner	Fragment	1962
9	Fedick	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1962
10	Hübner	W. Pesch	French Defence	1962
11	Rhodin	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1962
12	Jeannet	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1963
13	Hübner	Kolbaek	Caro-Kann Defence	1963
14	Hübner	Van Hoorne	French Defence	1963
15	Hübner	Eichhorn	Sicilian Defence	1964
16	Hübner	Sloth	Sicilian Defence	1964
17	Schneider	Hübner	King's Indian Defence	1964
18	Bachmann	Hübner	Fragment	1964
19	Algera	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1964/65
20	Hübner	Honfi	Ruy Lopez	1965
21	Hübner	Damjanovic	Fragment	1965
22	Hübner	L. Bronstein	Fragment	1965
23	Unzicker	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1965
24	Hübner	Gerusel	Fragment	1965
25	Hübner	Rellstab	Old Indian Defence	1965
26	Hübner	Joppen	Modern Defence	1966
27	Gilg	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1967
28	Hübner	Rellstab	Fragment	1967
29	Hübner	W. Pesch	Dutch Defence	1967
30	Ree	Hübner	Old Indian Defence	1967
31	Timman	Hübner	Modern Defence	1967
32	Hartston	Hübner	Fragment	1967
33	Hübner	Asplund	Orang-utan Opening	1967
34	Timman	Hübner	Fragment	1967
35	Hübner	Süss	King's Indian Defence	1967
36	Hübner	Cording	Fragment	1967
37	Hübner	Kestler	Fragment	1967
38	Hübner	Ostermeyer	Sicilian Defence	1967
39	Basman	Hübner	French Defence	1968
40	Hübner	Andersen	Fragment	1968

Game	White	Black	Opening	Year
40a	Karolyi	Schneider	Fragment	1979
40b	Filip	Gligoric	Fragment	1967
40c	Hübner (Speelman)		Analysis position	
41	Musil	Hübner	Fragment	1968
42	Damjanovic	Hübner	French Defence	1968
43	Medina	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1968
44	Donner	Hübner	Fragment	1968
45	Hübner	Hartston	King's Indian Defence	1968
46	Hübner	Mestrovic	Fragment	1968
47	Hübner	Segal	Fragment	1968
48	Hübner	Rubinetti	Sicilian Defence	1968
49	Wright	Hübner	English Opening	1968
50	Hübner	Bilek	Fragment	1969
51	Hübner	Szabo	Fragment	1969
52	Sämisch	Hübner	Pirc Defence	1969
53	Hübner	L. Spasov	Fragment	1969
54	Hübner	Pritchard	Fragment	1969
55	Jansa	Hübner	French Defence	1969
56	Nicevski	Hübner	French Defence	1969
57	Hübner	Csom	Sicilian Defence	1969
58	Olafsson	Hübner	Fragment	1969
59	Kokkoris	Hübner	French Defence	1969
60	Hübner	Mäder	English Opening	1969
61	Prameshuber	Hübner	King's Indian Defence	1970
62	Hübner	Pomar	Queen's Gambit Declined	1970
63	Kupreichik	Hübner	French Defence	1970
64	Vukic	Hübner	Catalan Opening	1970
65	Hübner	Mariotti	Dutch Defence	1970
66	Hübner	Podgaets	King's Indian Defence	1970
67	Westerinen	Hübner	Fragment	1970
68	Fischer	Hübner	Caro-Kann Defence	1970
69	Addison	Hübner	Nimzo-Indian Defence	1970
70	Hübner	Reshevsky	English Opening	1970
71	Hübner	Naranja	Fragment	1970
72	Ivkov	Hübner	Fragment	1970
73	Mecking	Hübner	Fragment	1970
74	Hübner	Teschner	English Opening	1970
75	Hübner	Petrosian	Sicilian Defence	1971
76	Najdorf	Hübner	Nimzo-Indian Defence	1971
77	Ivkov	Hübner	King's Indian Defence	1971
78	Hübner	Petrosian	Sicilian Defence	1971 (m/3)

Game	White	Black	Opening	Year
79	Hübner	Petrosian	Sicilian Defence	1971 (m/7)
80	Hübner	Hort	Sicilian Defence	1972
81	Hübner	Lombard	Fragment	1972
82	Hübner	Keene	Pirc Defence	1972
83	Hübner	Estevez	English Opening	1972
84	Hübner	Fedder	Fragment	1972
85	Hübner	Rogoff	English Opening	1972
86	Ree	Hübner	Fragment	1972
87	Jansa	Hübner	Philidor Defence	1972
88	Kirov	Hübner	Caro-Kann Defence	1972
89	Hübner	Petrosian	Sicilian Defence	1972
90	Hübner	Jansson	English Opening	1972
91	Hübner	Gligoric	Neo-Grunfeld Defence	1972
92	Hübner	Penrose	Fragment	1972
93	Penrose	Hübner	French Defence	1972
94	Karpov	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1973
94a	Bacrot	Anand	Fragment	2010
95	Tal	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1973
96	Hübner	Radulov	Queen's Gambit Accepted	1973
97	Smejkal	Hübner	Fragment	1973
98	Hübner	Tukmakov	Neo-Grunfeld Defence	1973
99	Hübner	Korchnoi	Ruy Lopez	1973 (m/3)
100	Korchnoi	Hübner	Fragment	1973 (m/8)
101	Hübner	Enklaar	Fragment	1974
102	Hübner	Visier	Fragment	1974
103	Hoen	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1974
104	Van Dop	Hübner	French Defence	1974
105	Hübner	Poutiainen	King's Indian Defence	1974
106	De Lange	Hübner	Queen's Gambit Declined	1974
107	Hübner	Commons	Fragment	1974
108	Hübner	Karklins	Fragment	1974
109	Geller	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1975
110	Hübner	Kuijpers	Sicilian Defence	1975
111	Raicevic	Hübner	English Opening	1975
112	Hübner	Timman	Fragment	1975
113	Timman	Hübner	Queen's Gambit Declined	1975
114	Geller	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1975
115	Hübner	Diez del Corral	English Opening	1975
116	Hübner	Ellrich	French Defence	1975
117	Freise	Hübner	Grunfeld Defence	1976
118	Hübner	Makropoulos	English Opening	1976

Game	White	Black	Opening	Year
119	Tseshkovsky	Hübner	Fragment	1976
120	Hübner	Garcia	Modern Benoni	1976
121	Hübner	Larsen	Fragment	1976
122	Hübner	Benko	Modern Defence	1976
123	Hübner	Lombard	Fragment	1976
124	Hübner	Liberzon	Queen's Gambit Declined	1976
125	Csom	Hübner	Fragment	1976
126	Hübner	Petrosian	Fragment	1976
127	Hübner	Spassky	English Opening	1977
128	Hübner	Hermann	Bird's Opening	1977
129	Hübner	Karpov	Fragment	1977
130	Hübner	Andersson	Nimzo-Indian Defence	1977
131	Hübner	Gligoric	English Opening	1977
132	Hübner	Nonnenmacher	English Opening	1977
133	Hübner	Weidemann	Fragment	1978
134	Hübner	Marcus	Fragment	1978
135	Portisch	Hübner	Nimzo-Indian Defence	1978
136	Gligoric	Hübner	Nimzo-Indian Defence	1978
137	Hübner	Ljubojevic	English Opening	1978
138	Hübner	Spassky	Catalan Opening	1978
139	Hübner	Ljubojevic	English Opening	1978
140	Hübner	Donoso	Queen's Gambit Declined	1978
141	Cooper	Hübner	Fragment	1978
142	Hübner	Hort	Sicilian Defence	1979
143	Gaprindashvili	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1979
144	Hübner	Miles	Neo-Grunfeld Defence	1979
145	Timman	Hübner	Caro-Kann Defence	1979
146	Robatsch	Hübner	Fragment	1979
147	Pfleger	Hübner	English Opening	1979
148	Hübner	Larsen	French Defence	1979
149	Kavalek	Hübner	Caro-Kann Defence	1979
150	Hübner	Sigurjonsson	Fragment	1979
151	Hübner	Hecht	Fragment	1979
152	Hübner	Hort	Sicilian Defence	1979 (m/1)
153	Hübner	Hort	Fragment	1979 (m/5)
154	Kagan	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1979
155	Torre	Hübner	Sicilian Defence	1979
156	Hübner	Sunye Neto	Fragment	1979
157	Hübner	Balashov	Fragment	1979
158	Hübner	Smyslov	Slav Defence	1979
159	Hübner	Portisch	Fragment	1979

Introduction by Tibor Karolyi

I was delighted when Hans suggested that we write a series on Hübner. I obviously knew that Hübner's results and contribution to our game make him a most deserving subject. In addition, my collaboration with Hans on our four volumes about Korchnoi progressed smoothly.

Who was Hübner and what did he accomplish? He was the greatest German player after Lasker, and occupied this position for decades. A stunning achievement given the strength of German chess.

Many grandmasters are rightly proud to have qualified for just one cycle of the World Championship Candidates, yet Hübner participated in four. Robert played every single great player of his time and defeated many of them.

I knew a lot of his games already, as I had written a number of books on his rivals against whom he played many matches and games. Petrosian, Portisch, Spassky, Korchnoi, Timman and Kasparov all met him in matches, while he played many games against Tal and Karpov. I even analysed Fischer's game against him. Hübner played so many world champions, a privilege enjoyed by only a handful of blessed grandmasters. He played Smyslov in 28 regular games, he faced Tal eleven times, he played fourteen games against Petrosian, Spassky had 35 games against him, and Fischer one. I found 28 regular games against Karpov, he had nine games versus Kasparov, and Kramnik was his opponent eight times. He played fewer, five games versus Topalov, and six versus Anand.

In many cases we all know the style of great players, for example, Alexei Shirov was a great attacking player in his day, Andersson was a fine positional player who excelled in endgames as well. Beliavsky was a great fighter. Yet somehow Hübner's name was not attached to any particular style. That is not without reason, as Hübner was a highly versatile player. He was an excellent tactician, yet one of the most strategic opening variations is named after him.

At the same time, I knew that the German grandmaster, who was alive until this project was almost complete, was a sensitive person, so I wrote him an email informing him about the book. As he did not disapprove of the idea (he didn't answer my email, but was clearly much sicker than we thought), I agreed to undertake my share of the project. Fortunately, he had previously provided Hans with some information by email during our Korchnoi project.

I have some vivid memories of him. When I played in the final of the Hungarian championship, my father told me after one of the rounds that Hübner was present and had watched my game. My father was a strong player, and his appreciation of the German grandmaster shone through and surprised me somewhat, as I did not realise how great Hübner was.

They say everyone remembers where they were when Kennedy was shot and when they learned about 9/11. In chess, I know where I was when Hungary won the 1978 Chess Olympiad. I remember when Leko lost the last game against

Kramnik in their world championship match. I also recall that I was in Germany on a boat trip when I learned that Hübner had saved a lost adjournment against Portisch and thus eliminated the Hungarian number one player from the candidates, which was a big shock for us Hungarian players.

When I wrote my book *Genius in the Background*, I devoted one chapter to my childhood trainer Karoly Honfi. I have spent five decades in chess and he was one of the closest people to me in the game. I knew that Karcsi bácsi (Uncle Karcsi) and Robert had a warm relationship, so I asked the German grandmaster to write a few words on him, and I was overjoyed to get an answer. Well, it was especially nice working on Hübner's games. There are plenty of beauties, and I often think about our mutual friend, hoping that he would have enjoyed this work on Hübner.

Investigating Hübner's play brought me some surprises. In particular, I had not foreseen certain qualities like his extreme resilience in endgames. He is probably the only player to have survived a very difficult endgame against Fischer, and he held clearly lost endgames against not only Kasparov, but also Karpov.

His games are highly entertaining and one can learn a lot from them. Dear Reader, join us in a journey through Hübner's exceptional career, you will not be disappointed.

Introduction by Hans Renette

I well remember being gifted my first chess board for my birthday, on 23 September 1990. Around that time, I joined the local chess club and it was not long before I dived into its extensive library. I also recall being eager to borrow chess magazines from other club members, like the Dutch magazine *Schaaknieuws*. My interest quickly focused on nineteenth and early twentieth century chess, finding the chess of the day (among others) too difficult for my modest playing level.

Diving into recent issues of *Schaaknieuws* at the time, I read about the World Cup. It was quite a novelty then - a series of tournaments with an overall score connecting them. Here, I saw many names of players who were soon going to be washed away by the youngsters, such as Timman and Short. In one way, they were moving up — they'd play the world championship matches in 1993 — but already in the early 90s, their results experienced clear setbacks from time to time. I also saw Salov's name - he came third in the World Cup and at that moment seemed one of the most likely future challengers. And there were other players taking part in the world cup: like Spassky, Andersson, Sax, Vaganian, Nikolic, Ribli, Hübner and others. What did I think of them? I – somewhat harshly – tended to lump them together as "boring" players, eager to get quick draws. Why did I think that? Well, they justified this image I had of them in the World Cup tournaments. It was a most lucrative series of events – maybe unheard of in the chess of that time – and, happy with the given conditions, several players took it easy. Speaking of Hübner: early in 1991, he was clinically eliminated by Timman in their candidates match. So it was a long time before I would think of him as the subject of a chess biography. Why should we write one? Because he speaks close to perfect Dutch? Or – much more impressive – Finnish?

Well, over the years, I got to know his results in the candidates matches. When deciding on a biography, though, I had to look much deeper and I saw a meteoric rise in chess that was hardly ever seen in — let's say — the Cold War years. Obviously, there was first Tal, who grew from an unknown master into a world champion over a few years. Next, there was Bobby Fischer with a run in the chess world that was unprecedented in the twentieth century (maybe only Morphy and Lasker can be considered quicker "climbers"). A similar incredible increase of strength could be witnessed in Hübner's career. At a very young age, Hübner was clearly highly promising in West Germany — a country which was not among the absolute top countries in chess. In the second half of the sixties, he scored well in the few national championships in which he took part.

It was not Hübner's ambition to conquer the chess throne and he combined playing the game with studying and other activities. But then this meteoric rise began: first, he managed to finish in the top three of the Athens Zonal and qualify for the Interzonal. Even though Hübner was only an IM at the time, he incredibly

finished among the leaders of that tournament as well and thus, at the tender age of 22, suddenly found himself to be a candidate. In the quarterfinals of that competition, Hübner met former world champion Tigran Petrosian. Obviously, Petrosian was the huge favourite, and he was able to eliminate H bner — but only in a most controversial way, which not only showed the controversial sporting morals of his Soviet opponent, but also Hübner's own sensitiveness.


Afterwards, Hübner focused on studies and work, but he remained an elite player who also took part in the Interzonals of 1973 and 1976. Especially in the latter, he came extremely close to qualifying once more for the Candidates Matches, but once again Petrosian stood in his way. His successes in other individual and team events were noteworthy nonetheless and in particular — to mention just one — he inflicted the sole loss Petrosian ever suffered in an Olympiad.

This first volume of his chess career finishes with the year 1979. It was another Interzonal year and now Hübner focused more than ever on wagering his chances in the run for the world championship. After qualifying convincingly from the Lucerne Zonal, Hübner also delivered a confident performance at the Rio de Janeiro Interzonal. For the second time, he thus became a Candidate and would soon be at the centre of everybody's attention — but those tragic episodes will be covered in the second volume...

While researching the life of Viktor Korchnoi, I managed to exchange several e-mails with Hübner, with our hero sending me a total of eight such messages. The reader will find information from them in our biography. But my true appreciation for him came when I started to analyse the files that Tibor sent me with his selected games, which my co-author as always analysed extensively with most interesting insights. I saw all these incredible diagrams...

Once I get this book in my hands I will take out that same 34-year old chess board and play over these wonderful games again, and I hope you'll derive as much pleasure from them as I do.


Game 34
J. Timman – R. Hübner
Jerusalem, World Junior Championship
Finals (9), 1967



36.9 xd6??


Close to time control Timman plays a careless move: he allows the enemy rook and queen to attack his king.

The knight covers the e3—square, but it is not enough. 37. ₩d2 would not hold either. 37... ₩g1+ 38. ★g3 \(\) g4



39... \(\begin{aligned} \beg

37...\degree g1+ 38.\degree g3




38...g6!

Hübner chases the knight away, giving Timman no time to pin the bishop effectively.

39.**ℤc8** gxf5

Hübner can just take the piece.



42...**₩xg2**

42... \sum g3 would win quickly as well.

Keene got to know Robert Hübner and his family well from 1967, as he spent the year in Essen where he studied German Language and Literature. Keene was most interested in him: he was an up and coming superstar in chess and they could speak German. In the past, he told us, blitz was not regarded as serious chess, whereas these days it has become a legitimate sport and such games are widely published and commented upon seriously. This justifies his pride in winning his first ever game with Hübner, a blitz game played in a local tournament.

Keene occasionally stayed Hübner's home. The family spoke in German and the parents didn't realise that Ray's German was so good. He thus noticed the huge tension between Hübner and his father – the type who was proud of his Nazi uniform. They discussed politics but this only aggravated the tension. Hübner's mother stayed out - she gave Keene a very timid impression. Hübner's father was a teacher of Latin and Greek, while his brother Wolfgang studied German and history. Later in life, Hübner took care of his mother and brother when they fell ill.

Around 1971, Keene was invited by Hübner to give some simuls. They played chess against the locals and annihilated them. On the second night, there was not chess but bowling on the menu. It didn't bother Keene that their opponents were in need of some revenge and it seemed it wouldn't bother Hübner either — he was spectacularly bad at the game. But Hübner improved very quickly, and by the end of the evening he was winning this as well. Next there was mini-golf. Keene lacked interest, the German opponents were very good at it, and

Hübner was again spectacularly bad. The chess amateurs were happy that they could finally beat him at something, but the scenario repeated itself: Hübner improved terribly fast, and by the end of the day he was winning everything. He wanted to win at whatever it was. Hübner looked peaceful, more peaceful than, for example, Kasparov, but in the end it was the same: he always wanted to win.

At the end of September, a new test awaited Hübner as he entered the ninth West German championship. It was obviously not a junior event and Hübner was the youngest competitor again, but the field which gathered at Kiel could have been decidedly stronger. Pfleger was prevented from playing due to exams, while Schmid, Unzicker and Darga were playing in other countries. This was all a bitter pill for the organisers in Kiel, the club which had gained the right to organise the championship after they took over the candidates tournament of 1965, but situation only resulted in sleepless nights for the organisers, who had serious difficulties in getting the financial picture right. Ultimately, the organisation of the event was very professional, but there was little reward for anyone – the players could at most hope to get a reserve spot in the Olympic team.

The absence of the favourites made the field a very young one — the age of the players ran from 18 to 33 — but those expecting a stern battle would be disappointed, as many games ended in draws. The best start was



At Wijk aan Zee, 1979. Playing against Polugaevsky — Nationaal Archief (photo by Rob Croes)



At Tilburg, 1979. Playing against Karpov – Nationaal Archief (photo by Rob Croes)

with White, and suffered no losses. Timman put down a remarkable result (10/15), while Furman completed the stage.


Hübner's second major event of the year also marked the beginning of a new tradition: the series of Interpolis tournaments. Its invitation policy was most ambitious: they aimed for the very best and only sent out invitations to the world's top players. As an illustration: during the boycott of Korchnoi by the USSR, preference was given to inviting the world champion and other Soviet GMs. Only when it was over did Korchnoi appear in Tilburg. The players were treated excellently in Holland and the tournament was also top-notch for spectators. Hübner could obviously expect stiff opposition, but as in Bad Lauterberg he could be heard complaining about his health problems. This resulted in various short draws, none of which exceeded thirty moves.

In the first four rounds, Hübner drew twice, lost to Timman and then beat Olafsson, who tried to force matters. In the fifth round, he was successful against Andersson. This win enabled Hübner to join the leading group, which consisted of no fewer than six players.

Game 130 R. Hübner – U. Andersson Tilburg (5), 1977

Nimzo-Indian Defence (E56)

1.d4 ∅ f6 2.c4 e6 3.∅ c3 ≜b4 4.e3 c5 5.≜d3 d5 6.∅ f3 0-0 7.0-0 dxc4 8.≜xc4 ∅ c6 9.a3 ≜a5 10.⊯d3 a6 11.dxc5 \(\psi\)xd3 12.\(\delta\)xd3 \(\delta\)xc3 13.bxc3 \(\delta\)d8 14.\(\delta\) c2



14...\(\delta\) d7?!

Andersson plays a new move; he is not in a hurry to win back the pawn. Hübner attaches a question-mark to his move and prefers 14... \(\begin{aligned} \) d5.

15.a4

White has to defend the c5-pawn with his dark-squared bishop. He can try from either a3 or e3 and Hübner chooses the first option. If 15.e4 \(\tilde{a} \) a5 16. \(\tilde{a} \) e5 \(\tilde{a} \) b5 17. \(\tilde{a} \) e1 \(\tilde{a} \) d7 18. \(\tilde{a} \) xd7 \(\tilde{a} \) xd7 19. \(\tilde{a} \) f4 \(\tilde{a} \) c8 20. \(\tilde{a} \) d6 b6 21.a4 \(\tilde{a} \) c4 22. \(\tilde{a} \) ab1 bxc5 23. \(\tilde{a} \) b6 White had a slight edge.

15...9 a5 16.9 e5

Hübner mentions that the white knight takes away the c4—square from the black knight.

16...**≜e8** 17.**≜**a3 **≡**d5?

Andersson forces White to play f4 and hopes to have a target on e3.


- a) 17...\(\begin{aligned}
 \begin{aligned}
 18...\(\begin{aligned}
 \begin{aligned}
 18...\(\begin{aligned}
 \begin{aligned}
 24...\(\begin{aligned}
 24...\begin{aligned}
 24...\begin{a
 - b) 17...4 d7!

b3) 18. \(\delta\) b4 \(\alpha\) xe5 19. \(\delta\) xa5

b3.1) 19...\(\beta\)d2. This move is better than commentators judged it. 20.\(\beta\)e4 (20.\(\beta\)a2 \(\one{O}\)c4) 20...\(\beta\)c6 21.\(\beta\)xc6 (21...bxc6 would not be hopeless for Black either.) 22.\(\beta\)b6

b3.1.1) 22...\$\delta f8? Commentators only looked at this natural move, but it has a drawback losing a chance to defend the f7—pawn. 23.\$\begin{array}{c} fd1 \$\beta c2\$ 24.\$\beta d7 \$\beta b8\$ 25.\$\beta ad1 \$\beta c3\$ 26.\$\beta c7\$ and Black has problems.

b3.1.2) 22...h5 23.\(\beta\)fd1 \(\beta\)c2 24.\(\beta\)d7 \(\beta\)b8 25.\(\beta\)ad1 \(\beta\)xc3 26.\(\beta\)c7 \(\beta\)a3 27.h3 \(\beta\)xa4 28.\(\beta\)dd7 \(\beta\)e5 29.\(\beta\)e7 \(\beta\)a1+ 30.\(\beta\)h2 h4



31.\(\beta\)xb7 (31.f4?? \(\beta\)d8!! and Black wins out of the blue.) 31...\(\beta\)xb7 \(\beta\)c1 with equality.


18.f4 **\(\beta\)**dd8

Andersson probably thinks that the rook can be a target in the centre, while returning to the eighth rank it vacates the d5—square for a knight. However, this costs two tempi.

a) 18...\$c6 19.\$b4 \$\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \

- b) 18... \(\tilde{\Omega} d7? \) 19. \(\tilde{\Omega} f3 \) (19. \(\tilde{\Dm} e4 \) \(\tilde{\Omega} xe5 20. \(\tilde{\Dm} xd5 exd5 21. fxe5 and Black has enough play for the exchange.) \) 19... \(\tilde{\Omega} f6 \) 20. \(\tilde{\Dm} b4 \) \(\tilde{\Omega} c4 \) (On 20... \(\tilde{\Omega} c6 21.e4 \) would be strong.) 21. \(\tilde{\Dm} b3 \) \(\tilde{\Omega} xe3 22. \(\tilde{\Tm} fe1 \) and Black will not have sufficient play for the exchange.
- c) 18...\(\beta\)d2. The rook can't do enough on the second rank to equalise, but this is still the best role for it. 19.\(\beta\)a2 g6! Commentators did not consider this move. (19...\(\beta\)d8 20.e4 is unattractive for Black. Or after 19...\(\beta\)ad8 20.\(\beta\)b4 \(\overline{\chi}\)c6 21.\(\overline{\chi}\)c4 White has repulsed Black's play.) White would be clearly better after 20.\(\beta\)b4 or 20.\(\beta\)f2 \(\overline{\chi}\)c6 21.\(\overline{\chi}\)c4 \(\beta\)e2 22.\(\beta\)fa1.

19. \(\delta\) b4 \(\partia\) c6



20.42 c4!


Hübner stops Black's active play: he has won the opening battle and Andersson will not be able to make any active move in the rest of the game. Now Robert can start exploiting his extra pawn.

Hübner probably doesn't even consider placing the king's rook on the

b-file, and the fact he did not mention it in his analysis suggests that. Hübner has a more precise move. 21.\(\beta\)fb!! a5 (21...\(\beta\)ab8 22.\(\beta\)b2 b6 23.cxb6 \(\beta\)xb6 \(\beta\)xb6 \(\beta\)xb6 \(\beta\)xb6 \(\beta\)sb8 26.\(\beta\)e4 and Black has nothing for the pawn.) 22.\(\beta\)a3 \(\beta\)xc3 23.\(\beta\)xb7 \(\beta\)b4. (This works far worse with the rook on a1 than on f1 when Black plays 23...\(\beta\)b4! in the following note below.) 24.\(\beta\)xb4 axb4 25.a5! and White wins.

21...\alpha ab8

21...a5! 22.\(\hat{2}\)a3 \(\hat{2}\)xc3 (22...\(\bat{2}\)ab8 23.\(\bat{2}\)b3) 23.\(\bat{2}\)xb7. H\(\bat{2}\)bner stops here, saying that Black's position would be hopeless. However, Black has a brilliant resource. 23...\(\hat{2}\)b4! 24.\(\hat{2}\)xb4 (24.\(\hat{2}\)b3 \(\bat{2}\)ab8!) 24...\(\axb{4}\)b4 25.\(\bat{2}\)xb4 (25.f5 \(\bat{2}\)db8!) 25...\(\bat{2}\)ac8 26.\(\hat{2}\)d6 \(\bat{2}\)xc5 27.\(\hat{2}\)b7 \(\bat{2}\)b8 28.\(\hat{2}\)xc5 \(\bat{2}\)xb4. Black would be worse with the pawn deficit, but had chances to hold.



22.\(\beta\)fc1!

Hübner places his rook behind two of his own pawns, but he thereby strengthens his queenside. 22.e4? ② dxb4 23.cxb4 □ d4 with equality. If 22. □ f2?! a5! Black would equalise after 23. □ xa5 ② xa5 24. □ xa5 ② xc3 or 23. □ a3 ② xc3 24. □ b2 ⑤ b4.

22...\$f8

22...②cxb4 23.cxb4 b5 (Or 23... ②c3 24.\(\) xh7+\(\) xh7 25.\(\) xc3\(\) xa4 26.\(\) d6 and White wins as H\(\) bner pointed out.) 24.cxb6\(\) xb6 25.\(\) xb6 \(\) xb6 26.\(\) d1\(\) xd1+ 27.\(\) xd1 and White wins.

23.⊈f2

Hübner criticises this move, but there is nothing stronger. 23.单d1 b5 24.cxb6+ ②cxb4 25.cxb4 ②xb6 26.②xb6 罩xb6 27.a5 罩bb8 28.单f3 罩d2 29.罩c7 and White has decent winning chances just like in the game.

23...f6?!

This pawn move weakens the h7—pawn.

a) 23...②cxb4 24.cxb4 ②c3 (24... b5 25.cxb6 ②xb6 26.②xb6 罩xb6 27.a5 罩b8 28.罩d1 wins.) 25.罩b3 (25.罩a1 ②d5 26.罩cb1 b6!) 25...⑤xa4 26.罩a3 b5 27.⑤a5 罩d2+ 28.黛g3 and White has excellent winning chances.

b) 23...a5

b1) 24.\(\hat{a}\)a3 \(\Delta\)xc3 25.\(\Beta\)b3 \(\Delta\)a2 (After 25...\(\Delta\)xa4 26.\(\hat{a}\)e4 f5 27.\(\hat{a}\)f3 \(\Delta\)b4 28.\(\hat{a}\)e2 Black would be in trouble, but not necessarily lost.) 26.\(\Beta\)d1 and White would be somewhat better.

b2) 24. ② xa5 ② dxb4 25.cxb4 ② xa5 26.bxa5

b2.1) 26... \(\begin{aligned} \begin{aligned} \delta 27. \\ \begin{aligned} \delta 1 & (27. \\ \begin{aligned} \delta 3 & (27. \\ \begin{aligned} \delta 2 & (27. \\ \begin{aligned} \delta 2

b2.2) 26... 26 27.a6 bxa6 28. 26 and White probably wins.

24.g3?!


Hübner prepares to push the e-pawn; this gives him time to look for play. If 24. 2 d6! White wins after

24...b5 25.axb5 axb5 26.\(\beta\)b2 or 24...a5 25.\(\beta\)a3 \(\infty\)xc3 26.\(\beta\)b3. Or if 24.\(\beta\)a3 \(\infty\)xc3 (24...\(\infty\)ce7 25.\(\beta\)d1) 25.\(\beta\)b3 \(\infty\)xa4 26.\(\infty\)d6 White again wins.

24...\(\hat{2}\) g6?

Exchanging the bishop gives White a free hand on the queenside. If 24... \$\displays e7\$ then 25.e4 wins. After 24...h6 25.\displays d1 \displays 6 26.\displays b2 \displaye4 27.\displays f3 White again wins. Black should act on the queenside. After 24...\displaycxb4 25.cxb4 \displayc3 26.\displays b3 (After 26.\displays a1 \displayc4 5 27.\displaycb1 b6 28.e4 \displayc3 29.\displaycb1 c1 bxc5 30.bxc5 \displays b4 Black would be alive.) 26...\displayxa4 27.\displaycb1 e1 \displays b5 Black would struggle, but has chances to hold.

25. \(\preceq\) xg6 hxg6 26.e4 \(\preceq\) de7



27.≜a3

Hübner stops any play for Black on the queenside. 27.\(\beta\)d1 would be equally winning: after 27...\(\beta\)xd1 \(\beta\)d6 Black's position falls apart.

On 27... \(\begin{aligned} \text{3d} & 28. \(\begin{aligned} \text{e} & 2 & could be \text{played.} \end{aligned} \)

28.^ℤc2

28. 2 d6 2 a5 (28...e5 29. 2d1) 29.c4 \$\dispsymbol{\pm} g8 30. \dispsymbol{\pm} b4 2 ac6 31. \dispsymbol{\pm} c3 2 ac6 32.e5 2 xd6 33.cxd6 f5 34.h4 and White wins on the kingside.

28...**∲**g8

The Swede wants to make sure that Hübner will not get to unleash tactics on his king.


29. \(\psi \) e2 \(\Phi \) c8 30. \(\pi \) cb2

If now the c6-knight moves then White plays a5 and wins. If the black rook leaves the d-file, the white rook occupies this file.

30...**⊈**h7

If 30... № 8e7? 31. ℤb6 (31. ℤb6 or 31. ℤd2 are also possible) 31... № h7 32. ℤd6 and White wins. If 30... № f8 31. ℤa5! ℤxa5 32.c6+ ℤe7

- a) 33.cxb7 ② a7 34.\(\frac{1}{2}\) b6 \(\frac{1}{2}\) e8 35.\(\frac{1}{2}\) xa6 \(\frac{1}{2}\) 5c6) 35...\(\frac{1}{2}\) xa6 and White's position is winning.
- b) 33.\(\beta\)xb7 \(\beta\)a8 34.\(\beta\)b8 and again White wins.



31.h4!

Black has no active play. Hübner, though, can progress in several ways. He starts by opening up the kingside. This is logical, as Black is tied up on the queenside, so he will not be able to transfer his forces.

a) 31. 2 d6 2 xd6 32.cxd6 2 a5 33. 3 d3 2 c8 34.e5 and White probably wins after 34... 2 g8 35. 2 b4 2 f7 36. 2 e4.

b) 31.\(\begin{aligned}
 &d2 \begin{aligned}
 &xd2 \begin{aligned}
 &xd2 \begin{aligned}
 &g8 \\
 &33.h4 \begin{aligned}
 &f7 34.\begin{aligned}
 &e8 35.\begin{aligned}
 &d1 and \\
 &white wins. \end{aligned}
 \]

31...**∲g8**

Andersson can do nothing but wait.


- 32. *\delta e3 *\delta h7 33.g4
- 33.\(\sumeq\)d2 swapping a pair of rooks would win again.

33...**∲**g8 34.**ℤ**g2

Hübner builds his play patiently. He can already open a file: 34.h5 gxh5 (34...\$\disphf\$h7 35.hxg6+ \$\disphf\$xg6 36.\$\square\$h2 wins.) 35.gxh5 \$\disphf\$h7 36.\$\square\$g2 and White's pressure on the g-file is unbearable for Black.

34...9 8e7

- 34...\$h7 35.h5
- a) 35...gxh5 36.\(\subsetential\) and White wins.
- b) 35... 28e7 36.hxg6+ 2xg6 (36... \$\div xg6 \ 37. 2\d\ d6) 37. \$\div h1+ \div g8 \ 38. \$\div h7 \ 39. \$\div h7 \ and \ White wins.
- c) 35...g5 36.fxg5 fxg5 37. \$\div e2 g6 38. \$\div h2 and again White wins.




35.h5

Hübner follows his plan.

35...□bd8 36.□d6 □a5 37.□f3 After 37.**□b4 □ac6** 38.hxg6 **□**xg6 39.g5 White would win as well.

37...gxh5

37... ②c8 38.hxg6 ②xd6 39.cxd6 ③c4




38.gxh5 2 c8

39.≜b4

39.f5? exf5 40.∅xf5 \$\display\$ h8 and Black would be alive.

39...9 c6



40.h6!

Hübner gets closer to Andersson's king.


40...②xd6

Or 40... \$\delta h7 41.hxg7 \quad xg7 42.\du xg7 + \$\delta xg7 43.\delta xb7 and White wins.

41.cxd6

The pawn chokes Black on d6.

41...\$\ddot\notation 42.hxg7 \boxed{\



The sealed move. Andersson decided to play on.

43... \$\disp\xg7\ 44.c4

Hübner calls this move the most precise.

- a) 44.\(\delta\) c5 \(\beta\) d7 45.\(\beta\) d1 e5 46.f5 \(\Delta\) a5 47.\(\delta\) b4 \(\Delta\) c6 48.a5+-
- b) 44.≜a3 ②a5 45.e5 ②c4 (45... \$\ddot{\$\psi\$}\$g6 46.\$\dot{\$\psi\$}\$e4+−) 46.\$\dot{\$\psi\$}\$xb7+\$\dot{\$\psi\$}\$g6
- b1) 47.\(\hat{2}\)c5 fxe5 48.d7 (48.fxe5 \(\hat{2}\)f5=) 48...\(\hat{2}\)f6 49.\(\hat{2}\)f2! H\(\hat{2}\)bner misses this instantly winning move in his analysis.
- b2) 47. \$\text{\$\ext{\$\text{\$\exititt{\$\text{\$\exititt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\exititit{\$\text{\$\text{\$\}\$}}\$}\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\tex

44...e5


- a) 44...f5 45.≜c3+ ★f8 46.c5 \(\begin{array}{c} \delta \
- b1) 48.fxe5 fxe5 49.a5 \$\dip g5 50.\$\bar{\textsup} 64 51.c5 \$\bar{\textsup} c4 52.c6 \$\dip f6 53.\$\dip d3 \$\bar{\textsup} c5 54.\$\bar{\textsup} xa6 and White wins.
- b2) 48.f5+ \$\disps 5 49.\boxed{\pi}g7+ \$\disps h5\$
 50.\boxed{\boxed}g6 a5 51.c5 \$\boxed{\boxed}\boxed{\pi}c6 52.\$\disps d3 \$\boxed{\boxed}\box

\$\dd\$ 60.\$\dd\$ e4 61.\$\dd\$e7 \$\overline{\pi}\xf7+62.\$\dd\$xf7 e3 63.\$\overline{\pi}\end{60} e2 64.\$\dd\$e7 \$\dd\$d2 65.\$\dd\$d6 e1=\$\dd\$66.\$\overline{\pi}\xeq 66.\$\overline{\pi}\xeq 167.\$\dd\$c5 and White wins as H\overline{\pi}\xeq 100 bner pointed out.

- c) 44...b6 45. a3 a d4+ (45...a a5 46.c5 bxc5 (46...a c4 47.c6) 47. axc5 ac4 48. b7+ ag6 49.d7 af7 50. ac2+ 47. ad3 axa3 48. axb6
 - c1) 48...\(\begin{aligned} \text{c8 49.c5} \begin{aligned} \text{\pi} \text{xc5 50.d7+-} \end{aligned} \]
- c2) 48... \$\displaystyle{1} f7 49.c5 e5 (49... \$\displaystyle{2} e8 50.e5+-) 50.f5 and White wins.
- c3) 48... h8 49.c5 h3+ 50. d4 h2 51.c6 and White's connected passed pawns are decisive.

45.c5 **\(\beta\)**d7

46. ≜ c3 **∲**f7



47.f5

47.\(\beta\)h1 b6 48.\(\beta\)h7+ \(\delta\)e8 49.\(\beta\)h8+ \(\delta\)f7 50.\(\beta\)c6 and White wins.

47... e8 48. h1 f7

- a) 48...b6 49.\(\mathbb{A}\)h8+ \(\delta\)f7 50.\(\mathbb{A}\)c8 \(\overline{A}\)a7 51.c6 \(\mathbb{A}\)xd6 52.\(\mathbb{A}\)c7+ and White wins.
- b) 48... \$\begin{array}{l} 49. \$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} 49... \$\begin{array}{l} 49... \$\begin{ar

49.\(\bar{\pi}\) h6 \(\phi\) b8


49... \$\ddot d7 50. \$\ddot g4 b6 51.cxb6 \$\ddot xd6 \ddot 52. \$\ddot h5+-\$

50. \(\pm e1 \empty d7 \) 51. \(\pm f2 \) \(\empty f8 \)

Other moves also lose: 51...\$\d8\$ 52.\$\dd8\$e2 \$\delta\$c8 53.\$\d3\$, 51...\$\d8\$52.\$\d8\$h8+ \$\overline{1}\$f8 53.\$\danger{1}\$e3 or 51...\$\delta\$f8 52.\$\delta\$c2 \$\delta\$g8 53.\$\danger{1}\$d3 \$\delta\$g2 54.\$\delta\$h8+ \$\delta\$f7 55.\$\delta\$d8.

52. **a** e3 **a** d7 53. **a** h4 **a** h7

On 53...\(\beta\)h7 54.\(\beta\)xf6 \(\beta\)xh4 55.\(\beta\)xf8 would win for White.



54.**⋭**d3

The king is about to invade. 54... $\stackrel{1}{\otimes}$ c6 55. $\stackrel{1}{\otimes}$ c4 b6

White wins if 55...②f8 56.\(\beta\)xf6 \(\beta\)xf6 57.\(\delta\)xf6 \(\Delta\)d7 58.\(\delta\)e7 \(\Delta\)xc5 59.f6. Or 55...a5 56.\(\beta\)g6 \(\beta\)f8 (56...b6 57.\(\chi\)xb6) 57.\(\beta\)g7.

56.cxb6 \(\disp\) xd6 57.\(\disp\) b4 1−0

Hübner sealed this move and Andersson resigned without resumption. The game could end as follows: 57... \$\div c6 58.\$\div a5 \$\div b7 59.\$\div g6 \$\overline{\Omega}\$ f8 (59... \$\div c6 60.\$\div xa6 \$\div b7 61.a5 \$\div b8 62.\$\div g7\$ \$\overline{\Omega}\$ d7 62.\$\div g7\$ \$\overline{\Omega}\$ b8 63.\$\div b4 \$\div xb6 64.\$\div c4.

In round six, a short draw against Hort followed and Hübner went straight to bed afterwards. He then lost with White against Karpov. Robert made a big mistake in the early middle game. Karpov afterwards analysed the game, trying to create great positional play. It seems that this frustrated the German grandmaster. Here is what Karpov wrote: "Gradually, Black's positional advantage emerges." Hübner: "I cannot discover this advantage." Your Hungarian author thinks that Hübner's irritation was justified as he lost thanks to a single-move mistake. But credit also goes to Karpov for instructively converting his advantage.

In round eight, Hübner missed great winning chances against Miles, the co-leader, then fair draws against Sosonko and Smyslov followed. By now, Hübner had recovered and fate had him paired against Gligoric, who preceded him by a half point in the standings. In their game, Hübner showed a tremendous will to win.

Game 131 R. Hübner – S. Gligoric Tilburg (11), 1977 English Opening (A30)

1.c4 ② f6 2.② c3 c5 3.g3 e6 4.② f3 b6 5.≜g2 ≜b7 6.0-0 ≜e7 7.d4 cxd4 8.₩xd4 0-0 9.e4 d6 10.b3 ② bd7 11.₩e3 a6

